

Discrete Math

4/28

Quick Review of Logs

Logarithm

🌐 Language

☆ Watch ✎ Edit

In [mathematics](#), the **logarithm** is the [inverse function](#) to [exponentiation](#). That means the logarithm of a given number x is the [exponent](#) to which another fixed number, the [base](#) b , must be raised, to produce that number x . In the simplest case, the logarithm counts the number of occurrences of the same factor in repeated multiplication; e.g., since $1000 = 10 \times 10 \times 10 = 10^3$, the "logarithm base 10" of 1000 is 3, or $\log_{10}(1000) = 3$. The logarithm of x to [base](#) b is denoted as $\log_b(x)$, or without parentheses, $\log_b x$, or even without the explicit base, $\log x$, when no confusion is possible, or when the base does not matter such as in [big O notation](#).

Quick Review of Logs

- $\log_b(x) = w \equiv b^w = x$
 - which implies that $b^{\log_b(x)} = x$
- $\log_x(y)$ is approximately the number of digits needed to represent y in base- x
 - The exact number is $1 + \lfloor \log_x(y) \rfloor$
- $\log_b(xy) = \log_b(x) + \log_b(y)$ and its related identities:
 - $\log_a(b^n) = n \log_a(b)$ and
 - $\log_b\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = \log_b(x) - \log_b(y)$
- $\log_b(x) = \frac{\log_a(x)}{\log_a(b)}$ – i.e., changing base is multiplying by a constant

How do we show that $\log_2 3$ is irrational?

$\log_2 3$ is irrational (Question 47)

Proof. $\log_2 3 \notin \mathbb{Q}$

We proceed by contradiction.

Assume that $\log_2 3 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Reminder that $\mathbb{Q} = \{x/y \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$

So, $\log_2 3 = x/y$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Moving the denominator over, $y \cdot \log_2 3 = x$

Using the log power rule, $\log_2 (3^y) = x$

By definition of logs, $3^y = 2^x$

Considering that both x and y are integers, 3^y and 2^x must also be integers. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic states that any integer can be represented as a unique product of prime numbers. The two exponentiations clearly don't share the same prime factors so walla! A clear contradiction. Because the assumption led to a contradiction, it must be false and $\log_2 3 \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

How do we show that $\log_8 9$ is irrational?

$\log_8 9$ is irrational

Proof. $\log_8 9 \notin \mathbb{Q}$

We proceed by contradiction.

Assume that $\log_8 9 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Reminder that $\mathbb{Q} = \{x/y \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$

So, $\log_8 9 = x/y$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Moving the denominator over, $y \cdot \log_8 9 = x$

Using the log power rule, $\log_8 (9^y) = x$

By definition of logs, $8^y = 9^x$

We can simplify this further $(2^3)^y = (3^2)^x \rightarrow 2^{3y} = 3^{2x}$

Considering that both x and y are integers, 2^{3y} and 3^{2x} must also be integers. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic states that any integer can be represented as a unique product of prime numbers. The two exponentiations clearly don't share the same prime factors so walla! A clear contradiction. Because the assumption led to a contradiction, it must be false and $\log_8 9 \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

How do we show that $\log_8 4$ is irrational?

Hm is $\log_8 4$ even irrational?

Proof. $\log_8 4 \notin \mathbb{Q}$

We proceed by contradiction.

Assume that $\log_8 4 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Reminder that $\mathbb{Q} = \{x/y \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$

So, $\log_8 4 = x/y$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Moving the denominator over, $y \cdot \log_8 4 = x$

Using the log power rule, $\log_8 (4^y) = x$

By definition of logs, $4^y = 8^x$

We can simplify this further $(2^2)^y = (2^3)^x \rightarrow 2^{2y} = 2^{3x}$

Considering that both x and y are integers, 2^{2y} and 2^{3x} must also be integers. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic states that any integer can be represented as a unique product of prime numbers. Unlike the two previous cases, the two exponentiations share prime factors. In fact, the two have the same factorization when $x = 2y/3$ and $y = 3n$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A contradiction is not reached...

Log Identity Practice

Question 34 (see above)

$$\log_{\sqrt{3}}(5) = \log_3 \left(\quad \right)$$

Question 35 (see above)

$$\log_a(b) \log_a \left(\quad \right) = 1$$

Question 38 (see above)

$$3^{\log_5(7)} = 7^{\log_{\square}(\square)}$$

Log Identity Practice

Question 34 (see above)

$$\log_{\sqrt{3}}(5) = \log_3 \left(\quad \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\log_{\text{sqrt}(3)} 5 &= \log_3 5 / \log_3(\sqrt{3}) \\ &= \log_3 5 / 0.5 \\ &= 2 * \log_3 5 \\ &= \log_3 25\end{aligned}$$

Question 35 (see above)

$$\log_a(b) \log_a \left(\quad \right) = 1$$

$$\log_a(b) * \log_a(?) = 1$$

$$\log_a(?) = 1 / \log_a(b)$$

$$? = a^{1/\log_a(b)}$$

$$? = a^{\log_a(a)/\log_a(b)}$$

$$? = a^{\log_b(a)}$$

Question 38 (see above)

$$3^{\log_5(7)} = 7^{\log_{\square}(\square)}$$

$$\log_7(3^{\log_5(7)}) = \log_x(y)$$

$$\log_5(7) * \log_7(3) = \log_x(y)$$

$$(\log_7(7)/\log_7(5)) * \log_7(3) = \log_x(y)$$

$$\log_7(3)/\log_7(5) = \log_x(y)$$

$$\log_5(3) = \log_x(y)$$

$$x=5, y=3$$

How do we prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$?

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{Practice Problem 51})$$

Proof. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$

We proceed by contradiction.

Assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\log_{n+2}(n+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- So, that means that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there must exist an $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\log_{n+2}(n+1) = x$
- By definition, $(n+2)^x = n+1$
- Because x is an integer, both sides are integers and they must have the same prime factorization as per the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.
 - Remember, all factors of $(n+2)^x$ are also that of $(n+2)$
 - So, all prime factors of $(n+2)$ must also be that of $(n+1)$.
- What next?

How do we prove that $n+2$ and $n+1$
can't share prime factors?

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ Continued (Practice Problem 51)

To prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n+2$ and $n+1$ do not share prime factors, we proceed by contradiction

- We assume that there exists a prime number p which is a factor of $n+2$ and $n+1$.
 - So, $(n+2)/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $(n+1)/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
 - That means for some integer k , $n+1=p*k$
 - If we add one to both sides, $n+2=p*k+1$
 - Substituting that back into $(n+2)/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(p*k+1)/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
 - Simplifying that slightly, $(p*k)/p + 1/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
 - Again, $k+1/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- Considering that p is a prime, which is greater than 1, we know that $1/p$ can not be an integer. With k being an integer, we know that $k+1/p$ can't be an integer and p is not a factor of $n+2$.
- This leads to a contradiction. $n+2$ and $n+1$ can't share factors.

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ Continued (Practice Problem 51)

Proof. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$

We proceed by contradiction.

Assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ , \log_{n+2}(n+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- So, that means that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there must exist an $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\log_{n+2}(n+1) = x$
- By definition, $(n+2)^x = n+1$
- Because x is an integer, both sides are integers and they must have the same prime factorization as per the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.
 - Remember, all factors of $(n+2)^x$ are also that of $(n+2)$
 - So, all prime factors of $(n+2)$ must also be that of $(n+1)$.
- As in the previous slide, $n+1$ and $n+2$ share no prime factors and can't have the same prime factorization.
- Aha! Contradiction!

Because assuming that $\log_{n+2}(n+1)$ was an integer led to a contradiction, it must not be an integer.

It follows that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \log_{n+2}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Questions?